arXiv:1603.02808v1 [math.DG] 9 Mar 2016

Biharmonic $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds in 7-dimensional Sasakian space forms

Toru Sasahara

Abstract

This paper corrects the classification result for biharmonic $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds presented by D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc in [Tohoku Math. J. **64** (2012), 195-222].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C42; Secondary 53B25.

Key words and phrases: Biharmonic submanifolds, $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds, Sasakian space forms

1. Introduction

In Theorem 5.1 of [5], Fetcu and Oniciuc presented the classification result for proper biharmonic $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds in 7-dimensional Sasakian space forms. The case (2) of the theorem is proved by applying Lemma 4.4 in [1]. However, the Lemma is wrong, and hence the classification is incomplete. This paper corrects errors in [1], and moreover, completes the classification. Our main result is the following, which corrects Theorem 5.1 in [5].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : M^3 \to N^7(\epsilon)$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian immersion from an 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold into a 7-dimensional Sasakian space form of constant φ-sectional curvature ϵ. Then M^3 is proper biharmonic if and only if one of the following two cases occurs*:

(1) *M^3 is flat, $N^7(\epsilon) = S^7(\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon > -1/3$, where $S^7(\epsilon)$ is a unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^4$ equipped with its canonical and deformed Sasakian structures, and $f(M^3)$ is locally congruent to*

$$\begin{aligned} f(u,v,w) =& \Big(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1/\alpha}} \exp\Big(i\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda}u\Big)\Big), \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha(c-a)(2c-a)}} \exp(-i(\lambda u-(c-a)v)), \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\rho_1(\rho_1+\rho_2)}} \exp(-i(\lambda u+cv+\rho_1 w)), \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\rho_2(\rho_1+\rho_2)}} \exp(-i(\lambda u+cv-\rho_2 w))\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = 4/(\epsilon+3)$, $\rho_{1,2} = (\sqrt{4c(2c-a)+d^2} \pm d)/2$ and λ, a, c, d are real constants

given by

$$\begin{cases}(3\lambda^2-\alpha^{-1})(3\lambda^4-2(\epsilon+1)\lambda+\alpha^{-2})+\lambda^4((a+c)^2+d^2)=0,\\ (a+c)(5\lambda^2+a^2+c^2-7\alpha^{-1}+4)+cd^2=0,\\ d(5\lambda^2+d^2+3c^2+ac-7\alpha^{-1}+4)=0,\\ \alpha^{-1}+\lambda^2+ac-c^2=0\end{cases}$$

such that $-1/\sqrt{\alpha}<\lambda<0$, $0<a\le(\lambda^2-\alpha)/\lambda$, $a\ge d\ge 0$, $a>2c$, $\lambda^2\ne 1/(3\alpha)$;

(2) M^3 *is non-flat,* $N^7(\epsilon)=S^7(\epsilon)$ *with*

$$\epsilon\ge(-7+8\sqrt{3})/13$$

and $f(M^3)$ *is locally congruent to*

$$f(x,\mathbf{y})=\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2+1}}e^{-\frac{i}{\mu}x},\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2+1}}e^{i\mu x}\mathbf{y}\right),\tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,y_2,y_3)$, $||\mathbf{y}||=1$ *and*

$$\mu^2=\begin{cases}1 & (\epsilon=1)\\ \dfrac{4\epsilon+4\pm 2\sqrt{13\epsilon^2+14\epsilon-11}}{3(3+\epsilon)} & (\epsilon\ne 1).\end{cases}$$

By applying Theorem 1.1, for $\epsilon=1$ we have the following, which corrects Corollary 5.2 in [5].

Corollary 1.1. *Let* $f:M^3\to S^7(1)$ *be a* $\mathcal{C}$*-parallel Legendrian immersion. Then* M^3 *is proper biharmonic if and only if one of the following two cases occurs*:

(1) M^3 *is flat, and* $f(M^3)$ *is locally congruent to*

$$\begin{aligned}f(u,v,w)=&\Big(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\exp(-i\sqrt{5}u),\\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\exp\Big(i\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}u-\frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}v\Big)\Big),\\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\exp\Big(i\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}u+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}v-\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}w\Big)\Big),\\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\exp\Big(i\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}u+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}v+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}w\Big)\Big)\Big);\end{aligned}$$

(2) M^3 *is non-flat, and* $f(M^3)$ *is locally congruent to*

$$f(x,\mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{ix},e^{-ix}\mathbf{y}),$$

where $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ *and* $||\mathbf{y}||=1$.

Remark 1.1. The curve $z(x):=\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2+1}}e^{-\frac{i}{\mu}x},\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2+1}}e^{i\mu x}\right)$ given in (1.1) is a Legendre curve in $S^3(\epsilon)$, and it is a geodesic if and only if $\mu^2=1$. Also, $z(x)$ is a closed curve if and only if ϵ satisfies

$$\epsilon=\frac{-9s^2+8st-3t^2}{3s^2-8st+t^2},\quad (s,t)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 1.2. The immersion described in (1) of Corollary 1.1 can be rewritten as

$$f(u,v,w) = (z_1(u), z_2(u)\mathbf{y}(v,w)),$$

where $(z_1(u), z_2(u))$ is a Legendre curve in $S^3(1)$ given by

$$(z_1(u), z_2(u)) = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}e^{-i\sqrt{5}u}, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{6}}e^{i\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}u}\right)$$

and $\mathbf{y}(u,v)$ is a Legendrian surface in $S^5(1)$ given by

$$\mathbf{y}(v,w) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}e^{-i\frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}v}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}v - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}w\right)}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{5}}e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}v + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}w\right)}\right).$$

We can check that $\mathbf{y}(v,w)$ is $\mathcal{C}$-parallel in $S^5(1)$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Sasakian space forms

A $(2n+1)$-dimensional manifold N^{2n+1} is called an *almost contact manifold* if it admits a unit vector field ξ, a one-form η and a $(1,1)$-tensor field φ satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi.$$

Every almost contact manifold admits a Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

The quadruplet (φ, ξ, η, g) is called an *almost contact metric structure*. An almost contact metric structure is said to be *normal* if the tensor field S defined by

$$S(X,Y) = \varphi^2[X,Y] + [\varphi X, \varphi Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y] + 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi$$

vanishes identically. A normal almost contact structure is said to be *Sasakian* if it satisfies

$$d\eta(X,Y) := (1/2)\left(X(\eta(Y)) - Y(\eta(X)) - \eta([X,Y])\right) = g(X, \varphi Y).$$

The tangent plane in T_pN^{2n+1} which is invariant under φ is called a *φ-section*. The sectional curvature of φ-section is called the *φ-sectional curvature*. Complete and connected Sasakian manifolds of constant φ-sectional curvature are called *Sasakian space forms*. Denote Sasakian space forms of constant φ-sectional curvature ϵ by $N^{2n+1}(\epsilon)$.

Let $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the unit hypersphere centered at the origin. Denote by z the position vector field of S^{2n+1} in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and by g_0 the induced metric. Let $\xi_0 = -Jz$, where J is the usual complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ which is defined by $JX = iX$ for $X \in T\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let η_0 be a 1-form defined by $\eta_0(X) = g_0(\xi_0, X)$ and φ_0 be the tensor field defined by $\varphi_0 = s \circ J$, where $s : T_z\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to T_zS^{2n+1}$ denotes the orthogonal projection. Then, $(S^{2n+1}, \varphi_0, \xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ is a Sasakian space form of constant φ-sectional curvature 1. If we put

$$\eta = \alpha\eta_0, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{\alpha}\xi_0, \quad \varphi = \varphi_0, \quad g = \alpha g_0 + \alpha(\alpha-1)\eta_0 \otimes \eta_0 \tag{2.1}$$

for a positive constant α, then $(S^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a Sasakian space form of constant ϕ sectional curvature $\epsilon = (4/\alpha) - 3 > -3$. We denote it by $S^{2n+1}(\epsilon)$. Tanno [11] showed that a Sasakian space form $N^{2n+1}(\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon > -3$ is isomorphic to $S^{2n+1}(\epsilon)$; i.e., there exists a C^∞-diffeomorphism which maps the structure tensors into the corresponding structure tensors.

2.2. Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms

Let M^m be an m-dimensional submanifold M in a Sasakian space form $N^{2n+1}(\epsilon)$. If η restricted to M^m vanishes, then M^m is called an *integral submanifold*, in particular if $m = n$, it is called a *Legendrian submanifold*. A curve $z(s)$ in $S^3(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is called a *Legendre curve* if it satisfies $\langle z'(s), iz(s)\rangle = 0$ identically in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

We denote the second fundamental form, the shape operator and the normal connection of a submanifold by h, A and D, respectively. The mean curvature vector field H is defined by $H = (1/m)\mathrm{Tr}\, h$. If it vanishes identically, then M^m is called a *minimal submanifold*. In particular, if $h \equiv 0$, then M^m is called a *totally geodesic submanifold*. A Legendrian submanifold in a Sasakian manifold is parallel, i.e., satisfies $\bar{\nabla} h = 0$ if and only if it is totally geodesic. Here, $\bar{\nabla} h$ is defined by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

A Legendrian submanifold is called *$\mathcal{C}$-parallel* if $\bar{\nabla} h$ is parallel to ξ.

For a Legendrian submanifold M in a Sasakian space form, we have (cf. [2])

$$A_\xi = 0, \quad \varphi h(X, Y) = -A_{\varphi Y} X, \quad \langle h(X, Y), \varphi Z\rangle = \langle h(X, Z), \varphi Y\rangle \tag{2.2}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z tangent to M. We denote by K_{ij} the sectional curvature determined by an orthonormal pair $\{X_i, X_j\}$. Then from the equation of Gauss we have

$$K_{ij} = \frac{\epsilon + 3}{4} + \langle h(X_i, X_i), h(X_j, X_j)\rangle - ||h(X_i, X_j)||^2. \tag{2.3}$$

The following Legendrian submaifolds can be regarded as the simplest Legendrian submanifolds next to totally geodesic ones.

Definition 2.1. An n-dimensional Legendrian submanifold M^n in a Sasakian manifold is called *H-umbilical* if every point has a neighborhood V on which there exists an orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ such that the second fundamental form takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &h(e_1, e_1) = \lambda \varphi e_1, \quad h(e_2, e_2) = \cdots = h(e_n, e_n) = \mu \varphi e_1, \\ &h(e_1, e_j) = \mu \varphi e_j, \quad h(e_j, e_k) = 0, \quad j \neq k, \quad j, k = 2, \ldots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where λ and μ are some functions on V.

2.3. Biharmonic submanifolds

Let $f : M^n \to N$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds. The *tension field* $\tau(f)$ of f is a section of the vector bundle f^*TN defined by

$$\tau(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \nabla^f_{e_i} df(e_i) - df(\nabla_{e_i} e_i) \right\},$$

where ∇^f, ∇ and $\{e_i\}$ denote the induced connection, the connection of M^n and a local orthonormal basis of M^n, respectively.

A smooth map f is called a *harmonic map* if it is a critical point of the energy functional

$$E(f) = \int_\Omega |df|^2 dv$$

over every compact domain Ω of M^n, where dv is the volume form of M^n. A smooth map f is harmonic if and only if $\tau(f) = 0$ at each point on M^n ([4]).

The bienergy functional $E_2(f)$ of f over compact domain $\Omega \subset M^n$ is defined by

$$E_2(f) = \int_\Omega |\tau(f)|^2 dv.$$

Then E_2 provides a measure for the extent to which f fails to be harmonic. If f is a critical point of E_2 over every compact domain Ω, then f is called a *biharmonic map*. In [6], Jiang proved that f is biharmonic if and only if its bitension field defined by

$$\tau_2(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ (\nabla^f_{e_i} \nabla^f_{e_i} - \nabla^f_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}) \tau(f) + R^N(\tau(f), df(e_i)) df(e_i) \right\}$$

vanishes identically, where R^N is the curvature tensor of N.

A submanifold is called a *biharmonic submanifold* if the isometric immersion that defines the submanifold is biharmonic map. Minimal submanifolds are biharmonic. Non-minimal biharmonic submanifolds are said to be *proper*.

Loubeau and Montaldo introduced a class which includes biharmonic submanifolds as follows.

Definition 2.2. ([9]) An isometric immersion $f : M \to N$ is called *biminimal* if it is a critical point of the bienergy functional E_2 with respect to all *normal variation* with compact support. Here, a normal variation means a variation f_t through $f = f_0$ such that the variational vector field $V = df_t/dt|_{t=0}$ is normal to $f(M)$. In this case, M or $f(M)$ is called a *biminimal submanifold* in N.

An immersion f is biminimal if and only if

$$\{\tau_2(f)\}^\perp = 0,$$

where $\{\cdot\}^\perp$ means the normal part of $\{\cdot\}$. Clearly, biharmonic submanifolds are biminimal.

3. $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds

3.1. A special orthonormal basis

We recall a special local orthonormal basis which is used in [1] (cf. [5]). Let M be a non-minimal Legendrian submanifold of $N^7(\epsilon)$. Let p be an arbitrary point of M, and denote by U_pM the unit sphere in T_pM. We consider the function $f_p : U_pM \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_p(u) = \langle h(u,u), \varphi u \rangle .$$

A function f_p attains a critical value at X if and only if $\langle h(X,X), \varphi Y \rangle = 0$ for all $Y \in U_pM$ with $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$, i.e., X is an eigenvector of $A_{\varphi X}$. We take X_1 as

a vector at which f_p attians its maximum. Then there exists a local orthonormal basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ satisfying (cf. [1])

$$A_{\varphi X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\varphi X_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ \lambda_2 & a & b \\ 0 & b & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\varphi X_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \\ 0 & b & c \\ \lambda_3 & c & d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_1 \geq |a|, \quad \lambda_1 \geq |d|, \quad \lambda_1 \geq 2\lambda_2, \quad \lambda_1 \geq 2\lambda_3. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The vector $X_1 \in T_pM$ can be differentiably extended to a vector field $X_1(x)$ on a neighborhood V of p such that at every point x of V, f_x attains a critical value at $X_1(x)$.*

Proof. Let $E_1(x)$, $E_2(x)$, $E_3(x)$ be an arbitrary local differentiable orthonormal frame field on a neighborhood V of p, such that $E_i(p) = X_i$. The purpose is to find a local differentiable vector field $X_1(x) = \sum y^i(x)E_i(x)$ such that $(y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 = 1$ and at every point x of V, f_x attains a critical value at $X_1(x)$. As in the proof of Theorem A in [7], we apply Lagrange's multiplier method.

Consider the following function:

$$F(x, y^1, y^2, y^3, \lambda) := \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk} y^i y^j y^k - \lambda\{(y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 - 1\},$$

where $h_{ijk} := \langle h(E_i(x), E_j(x)), \varphi E_k(x)\rangle$. We shall show that there exist differentiable functions y^1, y^2, y^3 defined a neighborhood of p satisfying the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} = 3\displaystyle\sum_{j,k} h_{ijk}(x) y^j y^k - 2y^i\lambda = 0, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ \dfrac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda} = (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 - 1 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Define functions G_i by

$$\begin{cases} G_i(x, y^1, y^2, y^3, \lambda) = 3\displaystyle\sum_{j,k} h_{ijk}(x) y^i y^k - 2y^i\lambda \ \text{ for } \ i = 1, 2, 3. \\ G_4(x, y^1, y^2, y^3) = (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 - 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $X_1(p) = X_1 = E_1(p)$, we have $(y^1, y^2, y^3) = (1, 0, 0)$ at p. It follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that $2\lambda(p) = 3\lambda_1$. We set $y^4 = \lambda$. A straightforward computation yields

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial G_\alpha}{\partial y^\beta}\right)(p) = 36(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1). \tag{3.4}$$

By (3.2), we have $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_3$. Hence the RHS of (3.4) is not zero. The implicit function theorem shows that there exist local differentiable functions $y^1(x)$, $y^2(x)$, $y^3(x)$, $\lambda(x)$ on a neighborhood of p satisfying (3.3). The proof is finished. □

Remark 3.1. In [1] and [5], the authors didn't prove the differentiablity of $X_1(x)$.

If the eigenvalues $A_{\varphi X_1(x)}$ have constant multiplicities on a neighborhood V of p, we can extend X_2 and X_3 differentiably to vector fields $X_2(x)$ and $X_3(x)$ on V. We work on the open dense set of M defined by this property.

3.2. Correction to a paper by Biakoussis, Blair and Koufogiorgos

Let M be a $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifold of $N^7(\epsilon)$. The condition that M is $\mathcal{C}$-parallel is equivalent to $\nabla\varphi h = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M. Hence we have

$$R \cdot \varphi h = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where R is the curvature tensor of M. Using (2.3) and (3.1), from the property (3.5) we get a system of algebraic equations with respect to λ_1, λ_2, λ_3, a, b, c, d, K_{12}, K_{13} and K_{23} (see pages 211-212 in [1]).

However, the equation (3.19)-(iv) in [1], i.e., $c(a-2c)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)=0$ is incorrect. It should be replaced by

$$b(a-2c)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)=0.$$

In fact, it is obtained by $\langle (R(X_1,X_2)\cdot\varphi h)(X_2,X_2),X_3\rangle = 0$.

Lemma 4.4 in [1] states that if $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_3 \neq 2\lambda_2$, then $\epsilon = -3$. However, the proof is based on the the wrong equation (3.19)-(iv) (see page 214, line 11), and hence the statement is wrong. In fact, the case $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_3 \neq 2\lambda_2$ can occur for $\epsilon \neq -3$ (see next section).

On the other hand, following the wrong statement of Lemma 4.4 in [1], the non-flat case of Theorem 5.1 in [5] is investigated. Therefore, the classification presented in the theorem is not complete.

3.3. Biharmonic $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds

We shall prove Theorem 1.1. First, we recall the following.

Proposition 3.1 ([5]). *If a 7-dimensional Sasakian space form $N^7(\epsilon)$ admits proper biharmonic $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifolds, then $\epsilon > -1/3$.*

By applying the proof of Lemmas 4.2-4.6 in [1] and Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Let M^3 be a proper biharmonic $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian submanifold in $N^7(\epsilon)$. If M is non-flat, then it is H-umbilical.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 in [1], the case $\lambda_1 \neq 2\lambda_2 \neq 2\lambda_3 \neq \lambda_1$ cannot hold. According to the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [1], the case $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2 = 2\lambda_3$ cannot hold for $\epsilon > -3$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1 the proof is divided into the following three cases.

Case (i). $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2 \neq 2\lambda_3$. In the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [1], we have

$$\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_1 = -\lambda_3 = \sqrt{2(\epsilon+3)}/4, \quad a = c = d = 0, \quad b = \pm\sqrt{6(\epsilon+3)}/8. \tag{3.6}$$

We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ as follows:

$$e_1 = (X_1 \pm \sqrt{3}X_3)/2, \quad e_2 = X_2, \quad e_3 = (\mp\sqrt{3}X_1 + X_3)/2,$$

where the $\pm$ signs are determined by the sign of b. A straightforward computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &h(e_1,e_1) = -(\sqrt{2(\epsilon+3)}/4)\varphi e_1, \quad h(e_2,e_2) = h(e_3,e_3) = (\sqrt{2(\epsilon+3)}/4)\varphi e_1, \\ &h(e_2,e_3) = 0, \quad h(e_1,e_i) = (\sqrt{2(\epsilon+3)}/4)\varphi e_i, \quad i \in \{2,3\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

which implies that M is H-umbilical.

Case (ii). $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_3 \neq 2\lambda_2$. Following the proof of Lemma 4.4 in [1] (page 214, lines 7-10), we have

$$K_{12} = 0, \tag{3.8}$$
$$b = d = 0, \quad c \neq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Moreover, in [1, (3.16)-(iv), (3.21)]) the following equations have been obtained:

$$c(K_{23} + \lambda_3(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)) = 0, \tag{3.10}$$
$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(K_{23} - b^2 - c^2) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.10), (3.11) and (3.9), we see

$$K_{23} + \lambda_3(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) = 0, \quad K_{23} = c^2. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from (2.3), (3.1), (3.8) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_2^2 = 4c^2 - 2ac - \beta, \\ \lambda_3^2 = 3c^2 - ac - \beta, \\ \lambda_2\lambda_3 = 2c^2 - ac - \beta, \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\beta = (\epsilon + 3)/4$.

We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ as follows:

$$e_1 = (\lambda_3 X_1 + cX_2)/\sqrt{\lambda_3^2 + c^2}, \quad e_2 = (-cX_1 + \lambda_3 X_2)/\sqrt{\lambda_3^2 + c^2}, \quad e_3 = X_3. \tag{3.14}$$

By a straightforward computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle h(e_1, e_1), \varphi e_2\rangle = \frac{ck(a,c)}{\lambda_3(\lambda_3^2 + c^2)^{3/2}}, \quad \langle h(e_1, e_1), \varphi e_3\rangle = 0, \\ &\langle h(e_2, e_2) - h(e_3, e_3), \varphi e_1\rangle = -\frac{k(a,c)}{(\lambda_3^2 + c^2)^{3/2}}, \quad h(e_2, e_3) = 0, \\ &\langle h(e_2, e_2), \varphi e_2\rangle = -\frac{\lambda_3 k(a,c)}{c(\lambda_3^2 + c^2)^{3/2}}, \quad \langle h(e_3, e_3), \varphi e_3\rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $k(a, c)$ is defined by

$$k(a,c) := 8c^4 - 6ac^3 + (a^2 - 3\beta)c^2 + a\beta c.$$

On the other hand, substituting (3.13) into the identity $\lambda_2^2\lambda_3^3 - (\lambda_2\lambda_3)^2 = 0$ gives

$$k(a,c) = 0.$$

Thus, by using (2.2), from (3.15) we find that M is H-umbilical.

Case (iii). $\lambda_1 \neq 2\lambda_2 = 2\lambda_3$. We may assume that $b = 0$. The proof of Lemma 4.5 in [1] states that if M is non-flat, then $a \neq 2c$ and $a = c = d = 0$. Thus, M is H-umbilical. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The case (1) of Theorem 1.1 has been proved in (1) Theorem 5.1 of [5]. By Theorem 5.5 in [10] for $n = 3$ and Proposition 3.2, we obtain the case (2) of Theorem 1.1. □

4. Biharmonic parallel Lagrangian submanifolds

Let $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$ denote the complex projective space of complex dimension n and constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. We denote by J the almost complex structure of $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$. An n-dimensional submanifold M^n of $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$ is called *Lagrangian* if J interchanges the tangent and the normal spaces at each point.

In Theorem 6.3 of [5], Fetcu and Oniciuc classified proper biharmonic parallel Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^3(4)$. However, the classification result is proved by applying the wrong statement of Lemma 4.4 in [1], and hence it is also wrong. This section determines explicitly all such submanifolds. First, we recall the following.

Proposition 4.1 ([5]). *Let $L : M^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^3(4)$ be a proper biharmonic parallel Lagrangian immersion. Then L is locally given by $\pi \circ f$, where $\pi : S^{2n+1}(1) \to \mathbb{C}P^n(4)$ is the Hopf fibration and $f : M^3 \to S^7(1)$ is a non-minimal $\mathcal{C}$-parallel Legendrian immersion satisfying*

$$\operatorname{Tr} h(\cdot, A_H\cdot) = 6H. \tag{4.1}$$

The following theorem completes the classification of proper biharmonic parallel Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^3(4)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $L : M^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^3(4)$ a proper biharmonic parallel Lagrangian immersion. Then L is locally congruent to $\pi \circ f$, where $f : M^3 \to S^7(1)$ is one of the following:*

(1) *M^3 is flat and*

$$\begin{aligned} f(u,v,w) =& \bigg(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}} \exp\Big(i\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}u\Big)\Big), \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{(c-a)(2c-a)}} \exp(-i(\lambda u - (c-a)v)), \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_1(\rho_1+\rho_2)}} \exp(-i(\lambda u + cv + \rho_1 w)), \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_2(\rho_1+\rho_2)}} \exp(-i(\lambda u + cv - \rho_2 w))\bigg), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{1,2} = (\sqrt{4c(2c-a)+d^2} \pm d)/2$, $-1 < \lambda < 0$, $0 < a \le (\lambda^2-1)/\lambda$, $a \ge d \ge 0$, $a > 2c$, $\lambda^2 \ne 1/3$, the quadruplet (λ, a, c, d) being one of the following:

$$\left(-\sqrt{\frac{4-\sqrt{13}}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{7-\sqrt{13}}{6}}, -\sqrt{\frac{7-\sqrt{13}}{6}}, 0\right),$$

$$\left(-\sqrt{\frac{1}{5+2\sqrt{3}}}, \sqrt{\frac{45+21\sqrt{3}}{13}}, -\sqrt{\frac{6}{21+11\sqrt{3}}}, 0\right),$$

$$\text{or} \quad \left(-\sqrt{\frac{1}{6+\sqrt{13}}}, \sqrt{\frac{523+139\sqrt{13}}{138}}, -\sqrt{\frac{79-17\sqrt{13}}{138}}, \sqrt{\frac{14+2\sqrt{13}}{3}}\right);$$

(2) *M^3 is non-flat and*

$$f(x,\mathbf{y}) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2+1}} e^{-\frac{i}{\mu}x}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2+1}} e^{i\mu x}\mathbf{y}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$, $||\mathbf{y}|| = 1$ *and* $\mu^2 = (4 \pm \sqrt{13})/3$.

Proof. The case (1) has been proved in Corollary 6.4 of [5]. By applying Propositions 3.2 and 4.1, modifying the second equation of (5.33) in [10] to $\lambda^2 + 2\mu^2 = 6$, we can prove the case (2). □

Remark 4.1. The immersion described in (1) of Theorem 4.1 can be rewritten as (cf. [3], [8])

$$f(u, v, w) = (z_1(u), z_2(u)\mathbf{y}(v, w)) ,$$

where $(z_1(u), z_2(u))$ is a Legendre curve in $S^3(1)$ given by

$$(z_1(u), z_2(u)) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}} e^{i\frac{1}{\lambda}u}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}} e^{-i\lambda u} \right)$$

and $\mathbf{y}(u, v)$ is a Legendrian surface in $S^5(1)$ given by

$$\mathbf{y}(v, w) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}}{\sqrt{(c-a)(2c-a)}} e^{i(c-a)v}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}}{\sqrt{\rho_1(\rho_1+\rho_2)}} e^{-i(cv+\rho_1 w)}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}}{\sqrt{\rho_2(\rho_1+\rho_2)}} e^{-i(cv-\rho_2 w)} \right) .$$

We can check that $\mathbf{y}(v, w)$ is $\mathcal{C}$-parallel in $S^5(1)$.

References

[1] C. Baikoussis, D. E. Blair and T. Koufogiorgos, *Integral submanifolds of Sasakian space forms* $\bar{M}^7(k)$, Results Math. **27** (1995), 207–226.

[2] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds,* Progress in Mathematics, Vol 203, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

[3] F. Dillen, H. Li, L. Vrancken and X. Wang, *Lagrangian submanifolds in complex projective space with parallel second fundamental form*, Pacific J. Math. **255** (2012), 79-115.

[4] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109-160.

[5] D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic integral* $\mathcal{C}$*-parallel submanifolds in* 7*-dimensional Sasakian space forms*, Tohoku Math. J. **64** (2012), 195–222.

[6] G. Y. Jiang, 2*-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas* (Chinese), Chinese Ann. Math. A **7** (1986), 389–402.

[7] A.-M. Li and G. Zhao, *Totally real minimal submanifolds* CP^n, Arch. Math. **62** (1994), 562-568.

[8] H. Li and X. Wang, *Calabi product Lagrangian immersions in complex projective space and complex hyperbolic space*, Results Math. **59** (2011), 453-470.

[9] E. Loubeau and S. Montaldo, *Biminimal immersions,* Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **51** (2008), 421-437.

[10] T. Sasahara, *A class of biminimal Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Math. Nachr. **287** (2014), 79-90.

[11] S. Tanno, *Sasakian manifolds with constant* φ*-holomorphic sectional curvature*, Tohoku Math. J. **21** (1969), 501-507.

Center for Liberal Arts and Sciences
Hachinohe Institute of Technology
Hachinohe, Aomori 031-8501, Japan
E-mail: `sasahara@hi-tech.ac.jp`